Maryland Historical Trust

Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties number: CE-1469.

Name: 1037/ MD 272 over Northeast Creek.

The bridge referenced herein was inventoried by the Maryland State Highway Administration as part of the Historic Bridge Inventory, and SHA provided the Trust with eligibility determinations in February 2001. The Trust accepted the Historic Bridge Inventory on April 3, 2001. The bridge received the following determination of eligibility.

MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST

Eligibility Recommended ___X____ Eligibility Not Recommended _____

Criteria: ____A ____B ____C ____D Considerations: ___A ___B ___C ___D ___E ___F ___G ___None

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Reviewer, OPS: _Anne E. Bruder_____ Date:__3 April 2001____

Reviewer, NR Program:__Peter E. Kurtze_____ Date:__3 April 2001____

MARYLAND INVENTORY OF HISTORIC BRIDGES
HISTORIC BRIDGE INVENTORY
MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION/
MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST

MHT No. CE-1469

SHA Bridge No. 7037 Bridge name MD 272 over Northeast Creek

LOCATION:
Street/Road name and number [facility carried] MD 272

City/town Northeast Vicinity _____

County Cecil

This bridge projects over: Road____ Railway_____ Water X_____ Land _____

Ownership: State X County Municipal ___ Other _____

HISTORIC STATUS:
Is the bridge located within a designated historic district? Yes _____ No X
National Register-listed district ____ National Register-determined-eligible district __
Locally-designated district _____ Other _____

Name of district _____

BRIDGE TYPE:
Timber Bridge _____:
Beam Bridge _____ Truss -Covered ___ Trestle ____ Timber-And-Concrete ___

Stone Arch Bridge _____

Metal Truss Bridge _____

Movable Bridge _____
Swing _____ Bascule Single Leaf __ Bascule Multiple Leaf _____
Vertical Lift _____ Retractile_____ Pontoon _____

Metal Girder_____:
Rolled Girder _____ Rolled Girder Concrete Encased _____
Plate Girder _____ Plate Girder Concrete Encased _____

Metal Suspension _____

Metal Arch _____

Metal Cantilever _____

Concrete X :
Concrete Arch_____ Concrete Slab X Concrete Beam _____ Rigid Frame _____
Other _____ Type Name _____

CE-1469

DESCRIPTION:

Setting: Urban _____ **Small town** X____ **Rural** _____

Describe Setting: Bridge No. 7037 carries MD 272 across Northeast Creek in the town of Northeast. The creek is flowing from north to south. The bridge lies at the northern edge of the town. To the north of the bridge is a wooded area with a park. To the south is a group of houses which dates to the late nineteenth century.

Describe Superstructure and Substructure:

Bridge No. 7037 is a four-span continuous concrete slab bridge with concrete pile bents. It was built in 1944. The structure rests on concrete abutments and has concrete wingwalls.The northern and southern spans are 35' long. The middle two spans are 28' long. The total bridge length is 126'. The out-to-out width of the bridge is 40'- 8". On both sides of the bridge is a sidewalk and an open metal railing. As-built drawings show the parapet design to be the same as that of the existing parapet with concrete posts and metal railings. A 1990 inspection report states that the concrete piles showed minor spalling and surface cracking and should be fixed within four years.

Discuss Major Alterations:

There have been no major alterations to this bridge.

HISTORY:

WHEN was the bridge built 1944

This date is: Actual X **Estimated** _____

Source of date: Plaque _____ **Design plans** _X_ **County bridge files/inspection form** ____

Other (specify): SHA files

WHY was the bridge built?

The need for a more efficient transportation network and increased load capacity.

WHO was the designer?

The designer of this bridge is unknown.

WHO was the builder?

The builder of this bridge is unknown.

WHY was the bridge altered?

This bridge has not been altered.

Was this bridge built as part of an organized bridge-building campaign?

As part of an effort by the State to increase load capacity on secondary roads.

SURVEYOR/HISTORIAN ANALYSIS:

This bridge may have National Register significance for its association with:

A - Events _____ **B- Person** _____

C- Engineering/architectural character _____

Was the bridge constructed in response to significant events in Maryland or local history?

Reinforced concrete slab bridges are a twentieth century structure type, easily adapted to the need for expedient engineering solutions. Reinforced concrete technology developed rapidly in the early

twentieth century with early recognition of the potential for standardized design. The first U.S. attempt to standardize concrete design specifications came in 1903-04 with the formation of the Joint Committee on Concrete and Reinforced Concrete of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

Maryland's road and bridge improvement programs mirrored economic cycles. The first road improvement program of the State Roads Commission was a 7 year program, starting with the Commission's establishment in 1908 and ending in 1915. Due to World War I, the period from 1916-1920 was one of relative inactivity; only roads of first priority were built. Truck traffic resulting from war-related factories and military installations generated new, heavy traffic unanticipated by the builders of the early road system. From 1920 to 1929, numerous highway improvements occurred in response to the increase in Maryland motor vehicles from 103,000 in 1920 to 320,000 in 1929, with emphasis on the secondary system of feeder roads which moved traffic from the primary roads built before World War I. After World War I, Maryland's bridge system also was appraised as too narrow and structurally inadequate for the increasing traffic, with plans for an expanded bridge program to be handled by the Bridge Division, set up in 1920. In 1920 under Chapter 508 of the Acts of 1920 the State issued a bond of $3,000,000.00 for road construction; the primary purpose of these monies was to meet the state obligations involving the construction of rural post roads. The secondary purpose of these monies was to fund [with an equal sum from the counties] the building of lateral roads. The number of hard surfaced roads on the state system grew from 2000 in 1920 to 3200 in 1930. By 1930, Maryland's primary system had become inadequate to the huge freight trucks and volume of passenger cars in use, with major improvements occurring in the late 1930s. Most improvements to local roads waited until the years after World War II.

With a diverse topographical domain encompassing numerous small and large crossings, Maryland engineers quickly recognized the need for expedient design and construction.

In the early years, there was a need to replace the numerous single lane timber bridges. Walter Wilson Crosby, Chief Engineer stated in 1906, "The general plan has been to replace these [wood bridges] with pipe culverts or concrete bridges and thus forever do way with the further expense of the maintenance of expensive and dangerous wooden structures". Within a few years, readily constructed standardized bridges of concrete were being built throughout the state.

The creation of standard plans and a description of their use was first announced in the 1912-15 Reports of the State Roads Commission whereby bridges spanning up to 36 feet were to use standardized designs.

Published on a single sheet, the 1912 Standard Plans included those structures that were amenable to such an approach: slab spans, (deck) girder spans, box culverts, box bridges, abutments, and piers (State Roads Commission 1912). Slab spans, with lengths of 6 to 16 feet in two foot increments, featured a solid parapet that was integrated into the slab, with a roadway of 22 feet.

In the Report for the years 1916-1919, a revision of the standard plans was noted:

> During the four years covered by this report, it has been found necessary to revise our standard plans for culverts and bridges, to take care of the increased tonnage which they have been forced to carry. Army cantonments...increased their operations several hundred per cent, and the brunt of the enormous truck traffic resulting therefrom, was borne by the State Roads of Maryland. In addition to these war activities, freight motor lines from Baltimore to Washington, Philadelphia, New York, and various points throughout Maryland,

and the weight of many of these trucks when loaded, was in excess of the loads for which our early bridges were designed (State Roads Commission 1920:56).

Published on separate sheets, the new standard plans (State Roads Commission 1919) for slab bridges reveal that the major changes was an increase in roadway width from 22 feet to 24 feet and a redesign of the reinforcement. The slab spans continued to feature solid parapets integrated into the span. The range of span lengths remained 6 to 16 feet, but the next year (1920) witnessed the issue of a supplemental plan for a 20 foot long slab span (State Roads Commission 1920).

The 1924 standard plans remained in effect until 1930, when the roadway width for all standard plan bridges was increased to 27 feet in order to accommodate the increasing demands of automobile and truck traffic (State Roads Commission 1930). The range of span lengths remained the same, but there were some changes designed to increase load bearing capacities. The reinforcing bars were increased in thickness. Visually, the 1930 design can be distinguished from its predecessors by the pierced concrete railing that was introduced at this time.

The practice of standardized design continued well beyond the 1940s.

When the bridge was built and/or given a major alteration, did it have a significant impact on the growth and development of the area?
There is no evidence that the construction of this bridge contributed to the growth and development of this area.

Is the bridge located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation and would the bridge add to or detract from the historic/visual character of the potential district?
This bridge is located in a potential district.

Is the bridge a significant example of its type?
The bridge is an undistinguished late standardized bridge type.

Does the bridge retain integrity of important elements described in Context Addendum?
Yes, the character defining elements have retained their integrity.

Is the bridge a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer?
The designer, manufacturer, and engineer of this bridge is unknown.

Should the bridge be given further study before an evaluation of its significance is made?
Further study of this bridge may be necessary to determine its contribution to a potential historic district.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

County inspection/bridge files **SHA inspection/bridge files** X
Other (list):

CE-1469

SURVEYOR:

Date bridge recorded 8/28/95

Name of surveyor Daniel Moriarty
Organization/Address P.A.C. Spero &Company, 40 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Suite 412, Baltimore, Maryland 21204
Phone number 410-296-1635 **FAX number** 410-296-1670

Bridge Type CONCRETE SLAB
Map B-15 (1" = 2000')
County CECIL
Bridge # and Name 7037
MD 272 OVER NORTHEAST CREEK



CE 1469
CECIL COUNTY, MD
MATT HURLEY
FEB 14 1995
~~MARYLAND SHPO~~ SHA
BRIDGE NO 7037
LOOKING SOUTH
1 OF 5

CE-1469
CECIL COUNTY, MD
MAT HURLEY
FEB 14 1995
~~MARYLAND SHPO~~ SHA
BRIDGE NO 7037
LOOKING NORTH
2 OF 5

CE 1469
CECIL COUNTY, MD
MATT HURLEY
FEB 14 1995
~~MARYLAND SHPO~~ SHA
BRIDGE NO 7037
UPSTREAM SIDE OF BRIDGE
3 OF 5

CE 1469
CECIL COUNTY, MD

MATT HURLEY

FEB 14, 1995

~~MARYLAND SHPO~~ SHA

BRIDGE NO 7037

DOWNSTREAM SIDE OF BRIDGE

4 OF 5

CE-1469
CECIL COUNTY, MD

MATT HURLEY

FEB 14 1995

~~MARYLAND SHPO~~ SHA

BRIDGE NO 7037

POSSIBLE OLD CROSSING,
DOWNSTREAM OF BRIDGE

5 OF 5